# 3.1.4 Herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need

#### **3.1.4.1** Overview

There are 56 native herptile species in Wisconsin. Of these 56 species, 24 (43%) have been identified as Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. Ten of these species are listed as Threatened or Endangered at the state level. Species of Greatest Conservation Need are divided into three groups based on their relative abundance in Wisconsin in comparison with the rest of their range. These divisions address the global role Wisconsin plays in the conservation of these species but leave options open for management.

Table 3-4. Herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need

	dance in Wisconsin compared with the	
rest of their range		
Common Name	Scientific Name	Page
Blanding's Turtle	Emydoidea blandingii	3-237
Butler's Garter Snake	Thamnophis butleri	3-260
Species with a moderate to low re	lative abundance in Wisconsin	
compared with the rest of their range		
Common Name	Scientific Name	Page
Four-toed Salamander	Hemidactylium scutatum	3-222
Mudpuppy	Necturus maculosus	3-224
Blanchard's Cricket Frog	Acris crepitans blanchardi	3-226
Boreal Chorus Frog	Pseudacris maculata	3-228
Pickerel Frog	Rana palustris	3-230
Mink Frog	Rana septentrionalis	3-232
Wood Turtle	Glyptemys insculpta	3-234
Ornate Box Turtle	Terrapene ornata	3-239
Midland Smooth Softshell Turtle	Apalone mutica	3-241
Western Slender Glass Lizard	Ophisaurus attenuatus	3-243
Northern Prairie Skink	Eumeces septentrionalis	3-245
Prairie Racerunner	Cnemidophorus sexlineatus virdis	3-247
Yellow-bellied Racer	Coluber constrictor	3-250
Prairie Ringneck Snake	Diadophis punctatus arnyi	3-252
Black Rat Snake	Elaphe obsoleta	3-254
Bullsnake	Pituophis catenifer sayi	3-256
Queen Snake	Regina septemvittata	3-258
Northern Ribbon Snake	Thamnophis sauritus	3-263
Timber Rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus	3-265
Eastern Massasauga Rattlesnake	Sistrurus catenatus catenatus	3-267
	bundance in Wisconsin compared with	
the rest of their range		
Common Name	Scientific Name	Page
Western Worm Snake	Carphophis amoenus	3-249
Western Ribbon Snake	Thamnophis proximus	3-262

### 3.1.4.2 General Threats, Issues and Conservation Actions

General Threats and Issues Affecting Herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Habitat degradation and loss is one of the primary threats facing herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. Disturbance, degradation and fragmentation of riparian, shoreline and instream habitat is caused by many factors, including agricultural run-off, increased impervious surfaces resulting from urban and residential development, and intensive grazing. The conversion of suitable upland nesting habitat (e.g., sand prairies) to agricultural land has resulted in significant habitat loss for many species.

Health issues are also a concern for herptiles and include viral, bacterial, and fungal diseases; toxicities and pollutants; skeletal and developmental malformations; trauma; and parasitism. Invasive species can negatively impact herptiles in a variety of ways. For example, invading reed canary grass and giant reed grass may simplify habitats, lowering wetland and shoreline habitat quality. Pollution from a variety of sources, including mercury, acid rain, salt, nutrient loads, and fossil fuel spillage may all negatively impact aquatic systems and species. While there are many unknowns, the net impacts of climate change, including expected warmer and drier conditions in our state, are probably negative for some species. Specific threats affecting individual herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need are listed on the following pages.

## General Conservation Actions for Herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Herptiles use a wide variety of habitats from sand prairies to streams to ephemeral ponds; restoration, management and protection of these diverse habitats are the primary actions proposed for conserving herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. A wide variety of efforts will be needed to restore, conserve and protect these habitats, from management of prairies to reduce impacts of natural succession to excluding cattle from streams and streambanks to reducing densities of invasive plants such as reed canary grass in wetland areas. Changes in laws and policies are needed to protect our herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need, ranging from altering roadside mowing practices to reduce mortality of some species to more protective shoreline zoning ordinances to protect aquatic and riparian habitats. Health concerns for herptiles need to be addressed by developing appropriate response strategies to unusual and or acute mortality outbreaks, collaborative partnerships with groups and individuals with knowledge of reptile and amphibian disease and biology, and a system utilizing community participation to alert the appropriate agencies of unusual and or acute mortality outbreaks. Additional inventory, research, and long term monitoring work is needed to more effectively work to conserve nearly all herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need and their habitats. Specific conservation actions proposed for individual herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need are listed on the following pages.

# References for Specific Threats, Issues and Conservations Actions for Herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need

The following references, along with other sources, personal observations and unpublished data, provide background or justification for specific threats and conservation actions listed on the following pages for the individual herptile Species of Greatest Conservation Need.

- Birge, WJ, JA Black, and RA Kuehne. 1980. Effects of organic compounds on amphibian reproduction.

  University of Kentucky, Water Resources Research Institute, Lexington, KY, Research Report No. 121.
- Bonin, J, JL Desgranges, CA Bishop, J Rodrigue, A Gendron, and JE Elliott. 1995. Comparative study of contaminants in the mudpuppy (Amphibia) and the common snaping turtle (Reptilia), St. Lawrence River, Canada. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 28:184-194.
- Boogard, MA, TD Bills, and DA Johnson. In review. Acute toxicity of TFM and a TFM/Niclosamide mixture to selected species of fish and mudpuppies (*Necturus maculosus*) in laboratory and field exposures. Journal of Great Lakes Research.
- Bowen, K.D. and J.C. Gillingham. 2004. R9 Species Conservation Assessment for Wood Turtle *Glyptemys insculpta* (LeConte, 1830). Conservation Assessment for the Eastern Region of the U.S. Forest Service. Online technical report at <a href="http://www.fs.fed.us/r9/wildlife/tes/ca-overview/docs/reptile\_Clemmys\_insculpta-Wood\_Turtle.pdf">http://www.fs.fed.us/r9/wildlife/tes/ca-overview/docs/reptile\_Clemmys\_insculpta-Wood\_Turtle.pdf</a>

- Brown, J. D., J. M. Richards, J. R. Roberson, S. Holladay, and J. M. Sleeman. 2004. Pathology of aural abscesses in free-living eastern box turtles (*Terrapene carolina carolina*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 40: 704-712.
- Buech R.R. 1995. The wood turtle: its life history, status, and relationship with forest management. In: Proceedings of the 1995 NCASI Central Lake States regional meeting, September 13-14, 1995, Rosemont, IL, Special Report 95-14, National Council of the Paper Industry for Stream and Air Improvement, Research Triangle Park, NC, p 118-123.
- Buech, R.R. and M.D. Nelson. 1993. Conservation of wood turtles in Minnesota. *In* J.J. Moriarty and D. Jones (eds), Minnesota's Amphibians and Reptiles: Their Conservation and Status, pp. 15-21. Serpent's Tale Natural History Book Distributors, Lanesboro, Minnesota.
- Burkett, RD. 1984. An ecological study of the cricket frog, *Acris crepitans. In* RA Seigel, LE Hunt, JL Knight, L Malaret, and NL Zuschlag (eds.), Vertebrate ecology and systematics: a tribute to Henry S. Fitch, pp. 89-103. Special Publication of the University of Kansas Museum of Natural History, No 10.
- Campbell, C.A. 1978. Reproduction and ecology of turtles and other reptiles and amphibians of Lakes Erie and St. Clair in relation to toxic chemicals, part II: results, discussion and conclusions. Unpubl. rep., Canadian Wildlife Service, Ottawa. 36 p.
- Casper, G.S. 1996. Geographic distributions of the amphibians and reptiles of Wisconsin. Milwaukee Public Museum, Milwaukee, WI, 87 pp.
- Casper, G. 2002. A review of the amphibians and reptiles of the Lake Superior watershed. Technical Report to the Terrestrial Wildlife Community Committee for the Lake Superior Lakewide Management Plan. June 30, 2002.
- Casper, GS. 1998. Review of the status of Wisconsin amphibians. *In* MJ Lannoo (ed.), Status and Conservation of Midwestern Amphibians, pp. 79-82. University of Iowa Press, Iowa City, Iowa.
- Christoffel, RA, and R Hay. 1993. 1994 census of Blanchard's cricket frog (*Acris crepitans blanchardi*) in southwestern WI. Wisconsin Endangered Resources Report, No. 110. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Madison, WI. 8 pp.
- Christopher, M. M., K. H. Berry, B. T. Henen, and K. A. Nagy. 2003. Clinical disease and laboratory abnormalities in free-ranging desert tortoises in California (1990-1995). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 39: 35-56.
- Docherty, D.E., C.U. Meteyer, J.Wang, J. Mao, S.T. Case, and V.G. Chinchar. 2003. Diagnostic and molecular evaluation of three iridovirus-associated salamander mortality events. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 39: 556-566.
- Doroff, A, and L. Keith. 1990. Demography and ecology of an ornate box turtle population in south-central Wisconsin. Copeia 1990(2):383-389.
- Faulkner and Weiher. 2004 Hydrographic and vegetation change in the Tiffany Bottoms area of the Lower Chippewa River in Buffalo County. Interim report to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Madison, WI.
- Gendron, A, R Fortin, and A Hontela. 1994. Multi-level detection of toxic stress in the mudpuppy *Necturus maculosus* an aquatic salamander. Unpublished report to the Canadian Wildlife Service. 41 pp.

- Gendron, AD, CA Bishop, R Fortin and A Hontela. 1993. In vivo testing of the functional integrity of the corticosterone-producing axis in mudpuppy (Amphibia) exposed to chlorinated hydrocarbons in the wild. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 16:1694-1706.
- Gilderhus, PA, and BGH Johnson. 1980. Effects of sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) control in the Great Lakes on aquatic plants, invertebrates, and amphibians. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 37:1895-1905.
- Hall, R.J., and E. Kolbe. 1980. Bioconcentration of organophosphorous pesticides to hazardous levels by amphibians. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 6:853-860.
- Harding, J.H. 1993. Amphibians and Reptiles of the Great Lakes Region. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor. 378 pp.
- Hartup, B.K. 1996. Rehabilitation of native reptiles and amphibians in DuPage County, Illinois Journal of Wildlife Diseases 32: 109-112.
- Hazelwood, E. 1970. Frog pond contaminated. J. Brit. Herpe. 4:173-185.
- Hedeen, S.E. 1986. The southern geographic limit of the mink frog, *Rana septentrionalis*. Copeia 239-244.
- Hrbeck, L. and D. Larsen. 1999. Plethodontid salamanders response to silvicultural practices in Missoui Ozark Forests. Conservation Biology 13, (3): 623-632.
- Jung, R.E. 1993. Blanchard's cricket frogs (*Acris crepitans blanchardi*) in southwest Wisconsin. Transactions of the Wisconsin Academy of Sciences, Arts and Letters 81: 81-89.
- King, R.S. 1993. Preliminary findings of a habitat use and movement patterns study of the eastern massasauga rattlesnake in Wisconsin. Unpublished report to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Green Bay, Wisconsin. 32 pp.
- Kingsbury, B. (ed.) 2002. Habitat management guidelines for amphibians and reptiles of the Midwest. A publication of Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation. 56 pp.
- Lannoo, M.J., K. Lang, T. Waltz, and G.S. Phillips. 1994. An altered amphibian assemblage: Dickinson County, Iowa, 70 years after Frank Blanchard's survey. American Midland Naturalist 131: 311-319.
- Lehtinen, R.M. 2002. A historical study of the distribution of Blanchard's Cricket Frog (*Acris crepitans blanchardi*) in southeastern Michigan. Herpetological Review, 33(3): 194-193.
- Matson, T. 1998. Evidence for home ranges in mudpuppies and implications for impacts due to episodic applications of the lampricide TFM. Pp. 278-283 *in* M.J. Lannoo (ed.), Status and Conservation of Midwestern Amphibians, University of Iowa Press, Iowa City, Iowa.
- Mitchell, M. Ophidia. 2003. Pp. 82-91 *in* M.E. Fowler and E.R. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Saunders, St Louis, MO.
- Oldham, M.J. 1992. Declines in Blanchard's cricket frog in Ontario. Can. Wild. Serv. Occ. Pap. No. 76, pp. 30-31.

- Oldham, M.J. and C.A. Campbell. 1986. Status report on Blanchard's cricket frog *Acris crepitans blanchardi* in Canada. Unpubl. rep. to the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. 79 p.
- Pleyte, T.A. 1975. The slender glass lizard (*Ophisaurus attenuatus*) in Waushara Co., Wisconsin. Unpubl. MS Thesis. Univ. Wisconsin-Milwaukee.
- Pyburn, W.F. 1958. Size and movements of a local population of cricket frogs (*Acris crepitans*). Texas Journal of Science 10:325-342.
- Raphael, B.L. 2003. Chelonians. Pp. 48-58 *in* M.E. Fowler and E.R. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Saunders, St Louis, MO.
- Reeder, A.L., G.L. Foley, D.K. Nichols, L.G. Hansen, B. Wikoff, S. Faeh, J. Eisold, M.B. Wheeler, R. Warner, J.E. Murphy, and V.R. Beasley. 1998. Forms and prevalence of intersexuality and effects of environmental contaminants on sexuality in cricket frogs (*Acris crepitans*). Environmental Health Perspectives 106(5):261-266.
- Schoff, P.K., C.M. Johnson, A.M. Schotthoefer, J.E. Murphy, C. Lieske, R.A. Cole, L.B. Johnson, and V.R. Beasley. 2003. Prevalence of skeletal and eye malformations in frogs from north-central United States: estimations based on collections from randomly selected sites. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 39: 510-521.
- Schumacher, J. 2003. Lacertilia and Amphisbaenids. Pp. 73-81 *in* M.E. Fowler and E.R. Miller (eds.). Zoo and Wild Animal Medicine 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Saunders, St Louis, MO.
- Shively, M.M., and S.A. Temple. 1994. An ecosystem recovery plan for Wisconsin pine–shrub–grassland ecosystems (pine barrens), University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Wildlife Ecology, Madison, Wisconsin, 82 pp.
- Sparling, D.W., G. Linder, and C.A. Bishop (eds.) 2000. Ecotoxicology of amphibians and reptiles. Pensacola, FL: Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC). 904 pp.
- Taylor, S.K., E.S. Williams, and K.W. Mills. 1999a. Effects of malathion on disease susceptibility in Woodhouse's toads. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 35: 536-541.
- Taylor, S.K., E.S. Williams, E.T. Thorne, K.W. Mills, D.I. Withers, and A.C. Pier. 1999b. Causes of mortality of the Wyoming toad. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 35: 49-57.
- Vogt, R.C. 1981. The natural history of amphibians and reptiles of Wisconsin. Milwaukee Public Museum, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 205 pp.